

## Interoperability of software for computational and experimental neuroscience

**Organizers: Padraig Gleeson, Sharon Crook**

### Abstract:

Biophysically detailed computational models are increasingly accepted as important tools for the investigation of brain function by the wider neuroscience community. However, there are still a number of issues to address before a clear and practical framework can be created for exchange of ideas and data both between theoreticians working in different areas and between modelers and experimentalists. At present, multiple simulation platforms are used to model cellular and network activity, each of which has its own scripting language and data structures. This can make reuse of model code developed for one environment difficult for users of another platform, despite the fact that the physiological concepts underlying software design are the same in both. Also, software applications for analysis and management of data produced by electrophysiological experiments, and tools and utilities for the analysis of simulation results are normally developed independently, although the same analysis techniques can be carried out on both datasets.

This workshop includes presentations from researchers who are actively involved in the construction of software solutions for various stages of the computational modeling cycle: from obtaining experimental results, to model creation, simulation and analysis, to prediction of experimental results, and back again. The aim is to present an overview of initiatives in the field to allow greater interaction between these elements and increased usability of results from each stage.

After the main talks, an open discussion session will a) identify "gaps" in the tool chain and b) identify desired extensions/updates to existing standards that allow for greater biophysical detail in models.

### Provisional agenda:

<b>Sharon Crook</b> Arizona State University	Introduction to model interoperability and usability
<b>Andrew Davison/Eilif Muller</b> Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/University of Heidelberg	Use of Python for computational neuroscience and the PyNN language for simulator-independent specification of neuronal network models
<b>Padraig Gleeson</b> University College London	Enabling interoperability and transparency of models of biophysical neurons and networks with NeuroML
<b>Hugo Cornelis</b> University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio	A technical overview of the CBI simulation framework: examples of instances and applications
<b>Cengiz Gunay</b> Emory University	Standardizing acquired electrophysiological data: A Matlab-loadable HDF5 file format annotated with recording conditions, units and scaling factor attributes

<p><b>Darren Myatt</b> University of Reading</p>	<p>Neuromantic: A freeware tool for semi-automatic reconstruction of neuronal morphologies</p>
<p><b>Upinder Bhalla/Subhasis Ray</b> National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore</p>	<p>MOOSE, the Multiscale Object-oriented Simulation Environment</p>
<p><b>Phillip Lord</b> Newcastle University</p>	<p>The CARMEN Project: Towards a common data format for electrophysiological data exchange and analysis</p>
<p><b>Afternoon Session</b></p>	<p>Open discussion on a number of topics, e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identification of gaps in the toolchain</li> <li>Integration with SBML</li> <li>Incorporation of Kinetic scheme/Markov descriptions of channels/synapses</li> </ul>